What is a Good PhD?

- some «common sense» and personal views

Lasse Natvig Professor in <u>computer architecture</u> Lasse@computer.org



EANUTS reprinted by permission of United Feature Syndicate, Inc.

The Review Process (How to Deal with Editors) 123

From: How to Write and Publish a Scientific Paper, Robert A. Day 5th ed.

and Technology Norwegian University of Science

□ NTNU

Presentation Overview



- What is a Good PhD?
 - Context
 - PhD theses I have supervised (6 + 3?) or evaluated (13)
 - <u>Quality</u> \rightarrow Importance of focus
 - Research group / Supervisor / PhD student
 - Reproducibility and testing (Method)
 - Quantity
 - 6 papers «The Reidar Model»
 - From NTNU regulations
 - Surprise



From the official NTNU regulations

Underlining and coloring by Lasse

- From *Guidelines for the Assessment of Candidates for Norwegian Doctoral Degrees,* Section 3.2 Assessment of the thesis [NTNU12b]:
 - A Norwegian doctoral degree is awarded as proof that <u>the candidate's</u> research qualifications are of a certain standard
 - ... the academic standard and quality of the work submitted
 - ...the candidate must satisfy the *minimum requirements to qualify as a* researcher demonstrated through requirements related to the formulation of <u>research questions</u>, <u>precision and logical stringency</u>, <u>originality</u>, a good command of current methods of analysis and be able to <u>reflect</u> on their possibilities and limitations.
 - ... thesis must <u>contribute new knowledge</u> to the discipline and be of an academic standard <u>appropriate for publication</u> as part of the scientific literature in the field



– And more! ©



CONTEXT AND FOCUS



What is Computer Architecture?

- Computer architecture "is a specification detailing how a set of <u>software</u> and <u>hardware</u> <u>technology</u> …<u>interact</u> to form a computer <u>system</u> … ... determining the needs of the <u>user/system/technology</u>, and creating a logical <u>design</u> and standards based on those requirements" [Techop]
 - + performance evaluation
 - Includes parallel processing (My personal interest in 30 years)
- Broad knowledge vs. deep knowledge
- … There is an old saying, "Architects know a little about almost everything and an engineer knows a lot about almost nothing."[Career]



How to focus within architecture?





A PhD student must focus even more!

• JUMP to

The illustrated guide to a Ph.D by Matt Might





Research Workflow



From: How to Write a Computer Architecture Paper, lecture about miniproject report writing in TDT4260 comp.arch [Jahre-14]



REPRODUCIBILITY



Abstraction/Models & Reproducibility

- Model of a system
 - Model the interesting parts with high accuracy
 - Model the rest of the system with sufficient accuracy
- "The Danger of Abstraction"
 - George E. P. Box:
 - "All models are wrong but some are useful"
 - "Remember that all models are wrong; the practical question is how wrong do they have to be to not be useful"
- Abstractions and simplifications
 - Even more important for small countries/groups!



• Hm...,

how to get people to trust our research?

- 100% precise documentation!
- <u>Reproducibility</u>





Give "all" experimental details

-	Crossbar Based Architecture			Ring Based Architecture		
	4-core	8-core	16-core	4-core	8-core	16-core
ITRS Year of Production	2007	2010	2013	2007	2010	2013
Feature Size (nm)	65	45	32	65	45	32
Shared Cache Size (MB)	8	16	32	8	16	32
Memory Bus Channels	1, 2 or 4	1, 2 or 4	1, 2 or 4	1, 2 or 4	1, 2 or 4	1, 2 or 4
Interconnect Latency (End-to-End/Per Hop)	8/-	16/-	30/-	-/4	-/4	-/8

Table III CACHE PARAMETERS

Cache	Size	Associativity	Access Latency	Cycle Time	MSHRs / WB	Banks	Area
	(4-core/8-core/16-core)		(cycles)	(cycles)	(per bank)		(mm^2)
Level 1 Private Cache	64KB	2	3/2/2	2	16MSHRs/4WB	1	2.3/1.1/0.5
Level 2 Private Cache	1 MB	4	9/6/5	4/3/2	16	1	14.6/7.0/3.6
Level 2/3 Shared Cache	8/16/32 MB	16	16/12/12	4	16/32/64	4	94.0/91.9/84.7

Table IV PROCESSOR CORE PARAMETERS

Table V INTERCONNECT AND DRAM INTERFACE

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value		
Clock frequency	4 GHz	Crossbar Interconnect	8/16/30 cycles end-to-end transfer		
Reorder Buffer	128 entries		latency, 32 entry request queue, Dipatined (2006 pipe stages)		
Store Buffer	32 entries	Dine Interconnect	A/A/8 cycles par hop transfar latancy		
Instruction Queue	64 instructions	King Interconnect	$\frac{4}{4}$ cycles per hop traisfer fatency, $\frac{1}{12}$ nine states per hop 32 entry		
Instruction Fetch Queue	32 entries		request queue, 1/2/2 request rings, 1		
Load/Store Queue	32 instructions		response ring		
Issue Width	4 instructions/cycle	Point to Point Link	4/3/2 transfer latency, 32 entry		
Functional units	4 Integer ALUs, 2 Integer		request queue		
	Multipy/Divide, 4 FP ALUs, 2 FP	Main memory	DDR2-800, 4-4-4-12 timing, 64 entry		
	Multiply/Divide		read queue, 64 entry write queue, 1		
Branch predictor	Hybrid, 2048 local history registers,		KB pages, 8 banks, FR-FCFS		
	4-way 2048 entry BTB		scheduling [21], Closed page policy		

From: A Quantitative Study of Memory System Interference in Chip Multiprocessors, Jahre et al., HPCC09

Reproducibility

Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible Computational Research, by Geir Kjetil Sandve et.al. [SNTH13]

- 1: For Every Result, Keep Track of How It Was Produced
- 2: Avoid Manual Data Manipulation Steps
- 3: Archive the Exact Versions of All External Programs Used
- 4: Version Control All Custom Scripts
- 5: Record All Intermediate Results, When Possible in Standardized Formats
- 6: For Analyses That Include Randomness, Note Underlying Random Seeds
- 7: Always Store Raw Data behind Plots Matplotlib, gnuplot
- 8: Generate Hierarchical Analysis Output, Allowing Layers of Increasing Detail to Be Inspected
- 9: Connect Textual Statements to Underlying Results
- 10: Provide Public Access to Scripts, Runs, and Results



Parallel computers using random numbers might execute nondeterministically



More on reproducibility

- 4'th Int'l Workshop on Adaptive Self-tuning Computing Systems [ADAPT'14]
 - Two papers got the quality mark reproducible
- 1st ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Reproducible Research Methodologies and New Publication Models in Computer Engineering [TRUST14]

See also:

http://ctuning.org/reproducibility (Grigori Fursin)





More on reproducibility

- *Repeatability* in Computer Science
- Techn. Report (68 pages)
- http://reproducibility.cs.arizona.edu/





TESTING



The importance of testing

- (Industry typically use 50% of work force for testing)
 - They cannot afford low quality
- Running benchmarks in computational comp.arch.
 - Common practice has not been perfect: Assumed OK if simulator does not crash





From ADEPT workshop January 2014

Presented by David Black-Schaffer, Uppsala [SHBS14]:

	ic	d Black-Schaffer Uppsala University / Department of Information Technology 1/21/14 24						
		An Aside: the Importance of Verification						
		Benchmark			Verifies in Reference	Verifies using VFF	Verifies when Switching	
	1	400.perlbench 433.milc 458.sjeng 471.omnetpp 483.xalancbmk	401.bzip2 453.povray 462.libquantum 481.wrf	416.gamess 456.hmmer 464.h264ref 482.sphinx3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
		410.bwaves 436.cactusADM 470.lbm	434.zeusmp 444.namd	435.gromacs 459.GemsFDTD	No	Yes	Yes	
		445.gobmk	450.soplex	454.calculix	Fatal Error ¹	Yes	Yes	
		429.mcf	473.astar		Fatal Error ²	Yes	Yes	
		437.leslie3d			Fatal Error	Yes	Yes	
		403.gcc			Fatal Error*	Yes	res	
		447.dealII			Fatal Error	Yes	Ves	
		465.tonto			13/20 verified	29/29 verified	28/29 verified	
1. Simulator get	s stuck				9/29 fatal	29729 Vermed		
 Triggers a memory leak causing the simulator crash. Terminates prematurely for unknown reason. 					Only 13 verify when doing a			
 Fails with internal error. Likely due to unimplemented instructions. Benchmark segfaults due to unimplemented instructions. 30B instruction OoO simulation ons. 								
6. Terminated by	y internal	benchmark sanit	y check.					







When is 6 papers good enough?

- First/main author of most
 - "If the thesis consists primarily of papers, the candidate must normally be the main author or first author of at least half the papers" [NTNU12a]
- At least 2 4 in high quality conferences or good journals
- All in acceptable journals, conferences or good workshops
 - IDI Relevant Conferences (357), A and B rating (can have weaknesses) [IDI-AB]
 - 1 (or maybe 2) can be in state submitted, if ...
- Watch out!
 - There are "fake conferences" and "bogus journals" (and websites)
 - Accepting papers written by paper-automata
 - You can easily get papers published that NEVER should have been published
 - Your and (your supervisors) responsibility



PhD as a collection of papers

 If the thesis consists of several interrelated minor pieces of work, the candidate must document the integrated nature of the work and the assessment committee <u>must decide whether the content comprises a coherent entity</u>. In such cases, the candidate must compile a separate part of the thesis that not only summarizes but also compares the research questions and conclusions presented in the separate pieces... [NTNU12b]











Figure 3.1: Research process and relation of papers



SURPRISE



How to supervise within a topic you do <u>not</u> know?

- ... or know only to some extent
- Case b) Change of main supervisor (not common)
- Case a) Your own student working efficiently and independently/self-driven
 - A normal case, or ideal case
 - How well can the PhD student answer your questions?
 - Clear and precise descriptions?
 - "General attitude"
 - from "maximum quality" to ... (worst case) "don't care attitude"



Motivate your supervisor!

- Use the time with the supervisor efficiently
- Be prepared
 - Bring results, ideas, questions
- Take notes
- Give your supervisor time to prepare
- Help him/her supervise
 - Write readable
 - Use figures, visualizations
 - Use abstraction
 - Be precise and pedagogical
- You have one project, your supervisor might have 10-30 "projects"



Scientific writing, precision

- Notation/concepts
 - Often new concepts
 - Use best/most common terminology --- if it exist
 - Define your terminology precisely
 - Stick to it, be consistent!
- "help the reader"
- More (in Norwegian)
 - Lasse's enkle tips om rapportskriving



References

Disclaimer: Some of these are "low-value references" (All are incomplete, but contain hyperlinks)

[ADAPT14] The 4'th Int'l Workshop on Adaptive Self-tuning Computing Systems, Vienna, January 2014

[Career] What Is The Difference Between Architecture And Civil Engineering?

[Djup08] Evolving Static Hardware Redundancy for Defect Tolerant FPGAs, PhD thesis by Asbjørn Djupdal

[Dybd07] Architectural Techniques to Improve Cache Utilization. Dr.ing. thesis by Haakon Dybdahl, 2007

[Hart05] Evolution of Fault and Noise Tolerant Digital Circuits, PhD thesis by Morten Hartmann, 2005

[IDI-AB] IDI Relevant Conferences (list for travel grants, A and B rating)

[Jahre10] Managing Shared Resources in Chip Multiprocessor Memory Systems, PhD thesis by M. Jahre, 2010

[Jahre14] <u>How to Write a Computer Architecture Paper</u>, lecture about miniproject report writing in course TDT4260 comp.arch, given by Nico this spring

[JN10] <u>Computational Computer Architecture Research at NTNU</u>, ERCIM News April 2010

[NTNU12a] Regulations For The Philosophiae Doctor Degree (PhD) at NTNU, 23 January 2012.

[NTNU12b] Guidelines for the Assessment of Candidates for Norwegian Doctoral Degrees, NTNU 13 June 2012

[SHBS14] Full Speed Ahead: Detailed Architectural Simulation at Near-Native Speed,

Andreas Sandberg, Erik Hagersten, and David Black-Schaffer. March 2014, Tech.report 2014-005

[SNTH13] Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible Computational Research, Geir Kjetil Sandve et.al., 2013

[Techop] Computer Architecture, from Techopedia

[TRUST14] <u>1st ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Reproducible Research Methodologies and New Publication Models</u> in Computer Engineering, Edinburgh, 12 June 2014.



Questions





Visit the EECS website: http://www.ntnu.edu/ime/eecs/

Contact: *Lasse.Natvig@idi.ntnu.no*

http://research.idi.ntnu.no/multicore/

