

# Code rotation

CoPCSE, Oppdal, 2018-11-27

Girts Strazdins, [gist@ntnu.no](mailto:gist@ntnu.no), NTNU (Ålesund)

Three groups work on same programming task...

When they are done, they rotate code...

Task 1,  
Implementation B

Task 1,  
Implementation A



Task 1,  
Implementation C



Tehn they continue the same for the next task...

Task 1 Implementation C  
+ Task 2 Implementation A

Task 1 Implementation A  
+ Task 2 Implementation B



Task 1 Implementation B  
+ Task 2 Implementation C



# Resulting code after $x$ steps

- 3 projects, with all  $x$  steps implemented
- Every team has worked on each project
- Each project has all three teams as contributors

# Motivation (hope)

1. Teams learn from each other
2. Responsibility
3. Realistic setting
4. Side-goal: GIT, level 2+

# Trial in "Nettverksprogrammering"

- Programming task: TCP client for a chat, 8 steps
- ~70 students, 1-3 in each team. Data+Automasjon.
- Demo for teacher at the end
- GIT with branch for each step, each team
  - Template in Git Classroom

	Which team implements which steps for which project			
	Steps 1+2 in	Steps 3+4 in	Steps 5+6 in	Steps 7+8 in
<b>Team 1</b>	Project 1	Project 3	Project 2	Project 1
<b>Team 2</b>	Project 2	Project 1	Project 3	Project 2
<b>Team 3</b>	Project 3	Project 2	Project 1	Project 3

# Evaluation

- Qualitative discussion with team during demo
- Questions (approximate):
  - How did the project go?
  - What was most difficult?
  - Did you learn something from code rotation?
  - How did you synchronize among teams?
  - Are you comfortable with GIT?

# Conclusion #1

## **This approach is good for learning GIT**

- Answers for "Are you comfortable with GIT now?"
  - Yes (more or less)
  - No, but much better than I was before the course
- Few say "don't understand it"
  - Most of those failed in OOP course



# Conclusion #2

**Tasks should be larger and allow improvisation.**

Answers for "Did you learn from others' code?":

- It was the same as mine
- Few say: I got surprised by code block X, had to ask

# Conclusion #3

## **Realistic setting and teamwork experience.**

- Most worked together
- When asked "was GIT branching hard?" Some students say "Yes, but we should learn it, because that is what industry uses".

# Conclusion #4

## **Hard to conclude about responsibility.**

- Risk for (unnecessary) peer pressure and criticism?
- Some say: *they* delayed, I had to work on their part
- The "usual struggle" – assignment was easy for some, hard for some. Ok for most.
- Almost everyone said: hard to begin, easy at the end.
  - Is that good or bad?

# Summarized conclusions

1. Teams learn from each other - unsure
2. Responsibility – not really?
3. Realistic setting - yes
4. Side-goal: GIT, level 2 - yes

# Challenge

- What to do with students who:
  - Failed OOP?
  - Study automation and ask "Why do we need this?"
- Too much *on the plate* = zero learning?
- Adding GIT, branches, rotation makes it worse?