TDT4127 Programming and Numerics Week 36

Numerical integration

Algorithms: midpoint, trapezoidal and Simpson's rules



Learning goals

- Goals
 - Numerical integration
 - Algorithm statements
 - Error analysis
 - Implementation tips
- Curriculum
 - Exercise 3
 - Auditorium exercise 1



Numerical integration

• Everyone loves to integrate! But it can be hard.

$$\int_0^1 \tan(\cos(\sin(e^{x^5}))) \mathrm{d}x = ?$$

• Integrating in 1D = Finding area under the graph



- The idea: Approximate f(x) by something easier to integrate
 - In particular, *polynomials* are really easy and approximate well!



Midpoint rule

- Approximate the function by a **constant** and integrate
 - Best constant is the value at the midpoint, f((a+b)/2).





Trapezoidal rule

- Approximate the function *f* by a linear function *g*
 - Choose g to interpolate f at the endpoints; g(a) = f(a), g(b) = f(b)





Simpson's rule

Approximate the function f by a quadratic function g

- Interpolate at c = (a+b)/2; g(a) = f(a), g(b) = f(b), g(c) = f(c)





Composite rules

- All three rules give *alright* estimates
 - But we can see with the naked eye that they make mistakes!
- To improve, we split the interval [*a*,*b*] into smaller ones
 - Typically, we call the number of intervals N
 - We will consider intervals of fixed width h
 - Other choices exist (leading to Gaussian quadrature)
 - This is not curriculum, but is widely used in practice
 - Splitting an interval of width (*b-a*) into *N* parts gives a width of *h*=(*b-a*)/*N*.



Composite midpoint rule

Use a constant approximation on each subinterval





Composite midpoint rule

Use a constant approximation on each subinterval





Composite midpoint rule

Use a constant approximation on each subinterval





Composite trapezoidal rule

Use a linear approximation on each subinterval



$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \frac{h}{2} \left(f(x_0) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(x_1) + f(x_N) \right)$$



Composite trapezoidal rule

Use a linear approximation on each subinterval





Composite trapezoidal rule

Use a linear approximation on each subinterval





Composite Simpson's rule

Use a quadratic approximation on each subinterval

- Subintervals: $[x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}]$, k = 0, ..., N-1. $x_k = a + kh$. h=(b-a)/2N.



N = 2, h = 0.5

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \frac{h}{3} \left(f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + 4f(x_3) + \dots + 2f(x_{2N-2}) + 4f(x_{2N-1}) + f(x_{2N}) \right), \qquad h = \frac{b-a}{2N}$$



Composite Simpson's rule

Use a quadratic approximation on each subinterval



$$\int f(x) dx \approx \frac{h}{3} \left(f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + 4f(x_3) + \dots + 2f(x_{2N-2}) + 4f(x_{2N-1}) + f(x_{2N}) \right), \qquad h = \frac{b-a}{2N}$$



Composite Simpson's rule

Use a quadratic approximation on each subinterval

- Subintervals: $[x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}]$, k = 0, ..., N-1. $x_k = a + kh$. h=(b-a)/2N.



Note the odd/even coefficients of 4 and 2



Error analysis

- We can get an estimate for the error of the midpoint method assuming *f* is *continuously differentiable* (also written C¹)
 - A function f is continuously diff'ble if f' is continuous.
 - Examples: $f(x) = x^2$ and $f(x) = e^x$
 - Non-example: f(x) = |x|
- The midpoint rule has an error estimate:

$$E_{\rm MP} = \left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx - (b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| \le \frac{(b-a)^3}{24} M$$

- Where *M* is the maximum value of |f''(x)| on [a,b].
 - Note that this estimate requires continuous differentiability of f



Error analysis

 For the composite midpoint method, we simply use the error estimate on each subinterval [x_k, x_{k+1}]

$$E_{\text{MP},k} = \left| \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} f(x) \mathrm{d}x - hf(c_k) \right| \le \frac{h^3}{24} M$$

• Summing up all of these, we find the total error

$$E_{\text{CMP}} \le \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} E_{\text{MP},k} \le \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{h^3}{24} M = N \frac{h^3}{24} M = \frac{(b-a)^3}{24N^2} M$$

• As N increases, the error decreases and so the approximation converges to the true integral as $N \rightarrow \infty$



Error analysis

 Similar estimates can be made for (composite) trapezoidal (TR) and (composite) Simpson's (SI) rules

$$E_{\rm TR} = \left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx - \frac{b-a}{2} (f(a) + f(b)) \right| \le \frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12} M$$
$$E_{\rm CTR} \le \frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12N^{2}} M$$
$$E_{\rm SI} = \left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx - \frac{b-a}{6} \left(f(a) + 4f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) + f(b) \right) \right| \le \frac{(b-a)^{5}}{2880} M_{4}$$
$$E_{\rm CSI} \le \frac{(b-a)^{5}}{2880N^{4}} M_{4}, \qquad M_{4} = |\max_{a \le x \le b} f''''(x)|$$

- Note that composite Simpson goes as $1/N^4$
 - And requires a continuous 4th derivative of f, (Notation: f is C^4).



Guaranteed error estimates

- The error analysis is useful since it gives us the worstcase behaviour of the algorithm
- If we want, we can guarantee a level of precision in the numerical approximation
 - For example, to make sure the integral of a C^4 function has error at most ε , use the Simpson's rule and choose *N* such that

$$E_{\text{CSI}} \le \frac{(b-a)^5}{2880N^4} M_4 = \epsilon, \qquad M_4 = |\max_{a \le x \le b} f''''(x)|$$

 Note: the estimates may be too conservative, suggesting more iterations than necessary, but they are safe



Implementation

- Sums and for loops go hand in hand
- Example:

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k$$

Translation into code: S = 0 for k in range(0,N+1) a_k = ... S = S + a_k



Summary

- Numerical integration is used to evaluate integrals
- We have seen three methods
 - Midpoint rule, trapezoidal rule and Simpson's rule
- Also seen the composite rules based on these
 - With error analysis, useful for guaranteeing errors!



Questions?

