Susceptibility and resistance of minnows, *Phoxinus* phoxinus (L.) to *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea) under laboratory conditions

TOR A. BAKKE AND LAURA A. SHARP

Bakke, T. A. & Sharp, L. A. 1990. Susceptibility and resistance of minnows, *Phoxinus phoxinus* (L.) to *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea) under laboratory conditions. *Fauna Norv. Ser. A 11*, 51—55.

The host susceptibility and resistance of minnows, *Phoxinus phoxinus*, to *Gyrodactylus salaris* was examined under laboratory conditions. The following experiments were carried out: transmission rate was examined by exposure of uninfected minnows to infected living or dead salmon; «homing response» was examined using «two-choice» host tests; and *G. salaris* survival on minnows was examined using individual fish isolation or grouped fish isolation. The results of the experiments demonstrated low transference rates to minnows; the transmission rates to salmon were much higher. The parasite was not observed to reproduce on the minnows. The maximum duration of infection after isolation of minnows, individually or grouped, was two and four days, respectively. Minnows are not considered to represent any risk for *G. salaris* reproduction or dissemination.

Bakke, T. A. Zoological museum, University of Oslo, Sars gt. 1, 0562 Oslo 5, Norway. L. A. Sharp. Department of Zoology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K.

INTRODUCTION

Minnows, Phoxinus phoxinus (L.) occur throughout most of Europe (Myllylä et al. 1983, Pethon 1985). In Norway, the wide distribution of minnows, including important salmon and trout rivers, is largely due to the more or less accidental translocation by man, as the species is used as live bait for fishing, although this is forbidden under Norwegian law (Borgstrøm 1973, Lien 1981). Nevertheless, the species has naturally colonized the south-east of Norway from the east, and the northern Norway, from the south (Borgstrøm 1973, Eggan & Johnsen 1983). Minnows are distributed in two river courses infected by G. salaris Malmberg, 1957 in south-eastern Norway, the Drammenselva and Lierelva rivers (Brittain et al. 1986, Økland 1990). From East-Norway, the species has a continuous distribution to the Baltic area, occurring also in brackish water (Myllylä et al. 1983). Drainage systems in the Baltic are also infected with Gyrodactylus salaris (see Malmberg 1988).

In the wild, G. salaris has been recorded on non-salmonid hosts, such as Platichthys flesus (L.) (Mo 1987). Gyrodactylus species on

non-salmonid hosts, such as G. arcuatus Malmberg, which naturally infects three spined stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus (L.), has also been found on salmon in Norway (Tanum 1983). On the other hand, Gyrodactylus species, such as G. macronychus Malmberg and G. aphyae Malmberg, occuring naturally in high frequencies on minnows, have been observed on both brown trout and salmon, respectively, in the same river system (Mo 1983). In another river course, G. phoxini Malmberg occurred on salmon (Mo 1988). Generally, minnows are natural hosts for a large range of Gyrodactylus species (Malmberg 1970, Harris 1985).

As Gyrodactylus salaris has been reported to be disastrous for salmon parr in infected Norwegian rivers (see Heggberget & Johnsen 1982, Halvorsen & Hartvigsen 1989), the aim of this study was to investigate the potential of minnows as hosts for G. salaris propagation or dissemination in the wild. Thus, the attachment potential of G. salaris to minnows, the «homing response» of G. salaris in «two-choice» host tests including minnows and the susceptibility and resistance of minnows themselves to this ectoparasite, were tested under laboratory conditions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L. used, were of age 0+, the river Ims stock and hatchery reared.

The minnows, *Phoxinus phoxinus*, were caught on 20th July in the Akerselva river, Oslo, Norway. When collected, some of the minnows were found to be infected with *Gyrodactylus aphyae* (identification based on ammonium picrate-glycerol mounted specimens according to Malmberg (1970)). All the minnows were disinfected before the experiments were performed, by immersion in Actomar (1:10000). A total immersion time of 2.5 hours was found necessary to eliminate the infection. No harmful effects of the treatment to the minnows were observed.

A population of G. salaris was established in the laboratory from six wild, heavily infected, salmon from the river Drammenselva. Salmon exposed to this infection source were

later used in the experiments.

The fish were acclimatized for approximmately 10 days after disinfection and prior to the experiments. The water temperature was kept at 12.4±0.4°C. The fish were kept under continuous dim illumination and not fed during the experiments. The experiments were performed in three sizes of grey plastic tanks: large tanks (1.0 x 1.0 m, water depth 0.3 m); medium tanks (0.27 x 0.38 m, water depth 0.12 m); small tanks (medium tanks divided into two equal compartments using a wire netting and polystyrene divider). During isolation procedures, the medium and small sized tanks were floated in a large tank. The laboratory water was charcoal filtered, dechlorinated tap water. No special substrate was provided.

The following three experiments were carried out:

(Expt. 1) Transmission rate: exposure of uninfected minnows to Gyrodactylus salaris infected salmon, both living and dead, for a total of three days. On DAY 0, 15 uninfected minnows (mean weight 2.5 g, range 0.8—4.6 g; mean length 6.4 cm, range 4.2—7.9 cm) were placed in a medium tank with 6 heavily infected (>500 parasites on each) live salmon parr. After recording the parasite burden on DAY 1, 10 randomly selected minnows were used further. They were directly placed together with five heavily infected dead salmon parr (>500 parasites on each) in a medium tank, one end of which

Table 1. Pooled results of two identical experiments to elucidate the transmission potential of *G. salaris* from heavily infected (>500 parasites on each) *Salmo salar*, dead or alive, to uninfected minnows, *Phoxinus phoxinus*, when kept together in medium tanks. The same salmon and minnows were used during the three days (DAY 1—3) of exposure. During days 2 and 3, the tank was shaded at one end and the infected salmon were killed

Number of	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	
salmon (condition)	12 (living)	10 (dead)	10 (dead)	
Number of minnows	30	20	20	
Mean intensity (Range)	1.0 (0—2)	1.5 (0—7)	3.5 (0—14)	

was covered with black sheeting. The bodies of the salmon were placed under the covered area. Both modifications enhanced conditions for transmission. The results of Expt. 1, which was carried out in duplicate, are pooled in Table 1.

(Expt. 2) «Homing response» of the parasite: using «two-choice» host tests. The attachment preference of minnows was examined by exposing uninfected salmon (mean weight 3.3 g, range 2.4—4.8; mean length 6.5 cm, range 5.8—7.4) and uninfected minnows (mean weight 3.6 g, range 2.9—4.9 g; mean length 7.0 cm, range 5.6—7.8 cm) to live salmon parr infected with G. salaris in small tanks for 24 hours. The results of two identical tests are pooled in Table 2.

(Expt. 3) Duration of infection: assessing change in parasite infrapopulations on minnows when isolated after exposure to an infection source, both individually and grouped. The G. salaris infected minnows used were taken directly after termination of Expt. 1 (Table 1), and individually isolated (minnow size: mean weight 3.6 g, range 2.1—4.6 g; mean length 6.9 cm, range 5.4—7.9 cm) in small tanks or grouped (minnow size: mean weight 1.8 g, range 0.8—3.0 g; mean length 5.7 cm, range 4.2—7.3 cm) in a large tank.

The parasite burden was assessed by making direct counts on the fish in a petri dish containing laboratory water, following anaesthetization with a 0.04% chlorbutanol solution. Previous pilot experiments have shown

that the parasites on the host show no visible harm from the chlorbutanol at the above concentrations and periods of use.

RESULTS

Transmission of G. salaris to minnows

The pooled results of two identical transmission experiments demonstrate that very few G. salaris transferred to minnows during the 24 hour period of exposure (Table 1, DAY 1). In addition, improving the conditions for transfer did not subsequently significantly increase the parasite burden on the minnows (Table 1, DAYS 2, 3).

«Homing response» of G. salaris

When given G. salaris on infected salmon parr, a «two-host» choice for transfer (Table 2) demonstrated that after 24 hours the infection was significantly higher on the initally uninfected salmon than on the uninfected minnows. (Parasite burden on the originally infected salmon after the tests: mean intensity 152, range 21—750.)

Duration of infection on isolated minnows

After isolation of infected minnows from the infection source, the parasites persisted on the minnows for only two and four days, on grouped and individually isolated fishes, respectively (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Scott & Robinson (1984) demonstrated that guppies, Poecilia reticulata Peters, challenged 1 to 2 weeks after an initial infection, were less suitable hosts for G. turnbulli Harris. This resistance appeared to be lost after 4-6 weeks. Lester & Adams (1974) found a period of 4 weeks in the Gasterosteus aculeatus — G. alexanderi Mizelle & Kritsky, interaction. Such a phenomenon has to our knowledge not been observed for interspecific Gyrodactylus relations. Furthermore, the minnows were infected with G. aphyae (and probably other Gyrodactylus species), when collected, and disinfected 10 days prior to the present experiments. This indicates that the fish were not in a resistance stage, even to G.

Table 2. Results of the «homing response» of G. salaris in the «two-choice» host infection experiments. Two identical tests were run, each with 5 uninfected salmon, S. salar, and 5 uninfected minnows, Phoxinus phoxinus, exposed to 5 infected live salmon (adipose clipped), all kept together in medium tanks for one day.

	Ex	Expt. I		Expt. II		Total	
Parasite burden	Salmon	Minnow	Salmon	Minnow	Salmon	Minnow	
Mean intensity (Range)	46.2 (34—60)	0.6 (0—1)	58.0 (28—94)	2.2 (1—4)	51.4 (28—94)	1.4 (0—4)	

Table 3. Results of the experiments on duration of infection of isolated (n= 7) and grouped (n = 11) minnows, *Phoxinus phoxinus*, with *G. salaris* (mean intensity, range in brackets), after removal from the infection source. The minnows were taken directly from Expt. 1 (Tab. 1, DAY 3; DAY 3 in Tab. 1 is Day 0 in Tab. 3). The minnows were subsequently kept isolated in small tanks (medium tanks divided into two compartments of equal volume).

Minnow situation		DAY				
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Isolated	6.7 (2—14)	1.4 (0—3)	0.3 (0—2)	0.1 (0—1)	0.1 (0—1)	0
Grouped	1.8 (1—4)	0.5 (0—2)	0.1 (0—1)	0	_	_

aphyae, when used. Delayed cross-immunity interactions was accordingly not judged to be a factor of significance in these experiments.

The experimental water temperature is within the optimum temperature range for G. salaris reproduction (Jansen & Bakke 1990) and also suitable for transmission to transport hosts (Bakke et al. 1991). Nevertheless, the attachment frequency of G. salaris to minnows was found to be very low and no subsequent development or reproduction of the attached specimens was observed. Even experiments which forced the two species to live in a limited space and the use of dead salmon as the infection source, enhancing searching and transference behaviour of the parasite (Scott 1985), did not substantially increase the attachment rate of G. salaris.

The transfer of for example G. phoxini from minnows to salmon, may be explained on basis of indirect transmission of dislodged minnow parasites instead of direct transmission. Also the presence of G. arcuatus and G. aphyae on salmon, naturally infecting threespined sticklebacks and minnows, respectively (Mo 1983, Tanum 1983), may be caused by this indirect method of transfer resulting from the bottom dwelling behaviour of the salmon.

The «two-choice» host tests demonstrated a marked preference for attachment to salmon, instead of minnows. This difference is more pronounced than previous results for G. salaris transfer to the resistant European eel, Anguilla anguilla (L.) (Bakke et al. 1991). Also the resistant brook lamprey, Lampetra planeri (Bloch) (Bakke et al. 1990) permitted a higher parasite attachment frequency. However, both species are bottom dwellers, which may at least partly, explain the relatively higher attachment frequency of G. salaris to this host species in relation to minnows. Hoffman and Putz (1964) have also reported a higher level of infection among fish having access to the bottom of a trough.

The minnow is widely distributed in streams and rivers with stony bottoms where as adults, they form shoals in shallow areas, often in slow-flowing parts (Tack 1941, Frost 1943, Jacobsen 1979). This behaviour contrasts with that of the territorial bottom dwelling salmon parr, which display a preference for habitats with higher water velocities (Heggenes & Saltveit 1990). This behavioural differences diminishes the opportunity for natural contact between minnows and

salmon, and also between minnows and the bottom substrate, decreasing the possibility of indirect transmission of dislodged *G. salaris* to minnows, or direct transmission from infected dead salmon.

G. salaris persisted on the minnows for only two to four days after isolation, approximately the same as the maximum life span of the parasite, dislodged from the host (Mo 1987). Hence factors, at present unknown, efficiently prevent prolonged attachment and reproduction of the parasite. The transmission window thus seems very narrow. Transported G. salaris also would have to populate a new area to the density required for further transfer to salmonids. Accordingly, the very restricted duration of low level G. salaris infections on minnows, demonstrates that minnows are unlikely to represent any danger as regards dissemination and translocation of the parasite.

So far, results indicate that all the non-salmonid hosts examined, brook lamprey, perch, roach (Bakke et al. 1990), European eel (Bakke et al. 1991) and minnow, are resistant to infection with G. salaris. However, attachment of the parasite is increased if the recipient fish species has a behavioural pattern allowing for frequent bottom contact. Further parasite dispersal on such potential transport hosts is subsequently dependent on the vagility and migratory behaviour of the specific host species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out during a research visit made by L. A. Sharp, University of Glasgow, U.K., to the Zoological museum, University of Oslo, Norway. We would like to thank Tom Isachsen for technical help and Åge Brabrand and John Brittain for valuable comments on the paper. The work is carried out with financial support from the Directorate for Natural Resources, Trondheim, Norway.

REFERENCES

Bakke, T. A., Jansen, P. A. & Brabrand, Å. 1990. Susceptibility and resistance of brook lamprey, Lampetra planeri (Bloch), roach, Rutilus rutilus (L.) and perch, Perca fluviatilis L. to Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea). Fauna Norv. A 11: 23—26.

- Bakke, T. A., Jansen, P. A. & Hansen, L. P. 1991.
 Experimental transmission of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) from the Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) to the European eel (Anguilla anguilla). Can. J. Zool. (In press.)
- Can. J. Zool. (In press.)

 Borgstrøm, R. 1973. Spredning av ørekyt. JaktFiske-Friluftsliv 102, 28—29.

 Brittain, J. E., Brabrand, Å. & Saltveit, S. J. 1986.
- Brittain, J. E., Brabrand, A. & Saltveit, S. J. 1986. Bruk av bunndyr og fisk for vurdering av vannkvaliteten i Drammenselva. Vann 3, 210— 219.
- Eggan, G. & Johnsen, B. O. 1983. Kartlegging av utbredelsen av ferskvannsfisk i Norge. Direktoratet for Naturforvaltning, Del. 1 — Kommunevis utbredelse (Foreløpig rapport). 2. oppl., 1—84.
- Halvorsen, O. & Hartvigsen, R. 1989. A review of the biogeography and epidemiology of *Gyrodactylus salaris*. NINA Utredning 2, 1—14.
- Frost, W. E. 1943. The natural history of the minnow, *Phoxinus phoxinus*. J. Anim. Ecol. 12, 139—162.
- Harris, P. D. 1985. Species of Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) from the freshwater fishes in southern England, with a description of Gyrodactylus rogatensis sp.nov. from the bullhead Cottus gobio L. J. Nat. Hist. 19, 791—809.
- Heggberget, T. G. & Johnsen, B. O. 1982. Infestations by Gyrodactylus sp. of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., in Norwegian rivers. J. Fish Biol. 21, 15—26.
- Heggenes, J. & Saltveit, S. 1990. Seasonal and spatial microhabitat selection and segregation in young Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., and brown trout, Salmo trutta L., in a Norwegian river. J. Fish Biol. 36, 707—720.
- Hoffman, G. L. & Putz, R. E. 1964. Studies of Gyrodactylus macrochiri n.sp. (Trematoda: Monogenea) from Lepomis macrochirus. Proc. Helm. Soc. Wash. 31, 76—82.
- Jacobsen, O. J. 1979. Substrate preference in the minnow (Phoxinus phoxinus L.). Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol. 26, 371-378.
- Jansen, P.A. & Bakke, T. A. 1990. Temperature-dependent reproduction and survival of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) on Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Parasitology (In press.)
- Lester, R. J. G. & Adams, J. R. 1974. A simple model of a *Gyrodactylus* population. *Int. J. Parasit.* 4, 497—506.
- Lien, L. 1981. Biology of the minnow *Phoxinus* phoxinus and its interactions with the brown

- trout Salmo trutta in Øvre Heimdalsvatn, Norway. Holarct. Ecol. 4, 191-200.
- Malmberg, G. 1970. The excretory system and the marginal hooks as basis for the systematics of *Gyrodactylus* (Trematoda, Monogenea). *Ark. Zool. Ser. 2, Bd. 23 (1)*, 1—235.
- Malmberg, G. 1988. Gyrodactylus salaris infektioner, laxfisk-transporter och odling i Norden. Vattenbruk 6, 22—24, 26—29.
- Mo, T. A. 1983. Gyrodactylus truttae Gläser, 1974 på ørret, Salmo trutta L. og laks, Salmo salar L. i Sandviksvassdraget, Cand. real. Thesis, University of Oslo, Norway.
- Mo, T. A. 1987. Taxonomiske og biologiske undersøkelser. Virksomheten i 1986 og forslag til virksomheten i 1987. Gyrodactylus-undersøkelsene ved Zoologisk museum, Universitetet i Oslo, Rapport Nr. 2, 1—69.
- Mo, T. A. 1988. Virksomheten i 1987 og program for virksomheten i 1988. Gyrodactylus-undersøkelsene ved Zoologisk museum, Universitetet i Oslo, Rapport Nr. 4, 1—29.
- Myllylä, M., Torssonen, M., Pulliainen, E. & Kuusela, K. 1983. Biological studies on the minnow, *Phoxinus phoxinus*, in northern Finland. *Aquilo Ser. Zool.* 22, 149—156.
- Pethon, P. 1985. Aschehougs Store Fiskebok. Aschehoug & Co., Oslo.
- Scott, M. 1985. Experimental epidemiology of Gyrodactylus bullatarudis (Monogenea) on guppies (Poecilia reticulata): short and long term studies. In: Rollinson, D. & Anderson, R. M. (eds.) Ecology and Genetics of Host-Parasite Interactions. Academic Press, New York, pp. 21—38.
- Scott, M. E. & Robinson, M. A. 1984. Challenge infections of Gyrodactylus bullatarudis (Monogenea) on guppies, Poecilia reticulata (Peters), following treatment. J. Fish Biol. 24, 581-586.
- Tack, E. 1941. Die Ellritze (*Phoxinus phoxinus* Ag.), eine monographische Bearbeitung. Arch. Hydrobiol. 37, 321—425.
- Tanum, K. 1983. Studier av taksonomi og vertsforhold hos *Gyrodactylus*-arter på laksefisk av slektene *Salmo* og *Salvelinus* i Norge. Cand. scient. Thesis, University of Oslo, Norway.
- Økland, B. 1990. Fisk og bunndyr i Liervassdraget. Universitetet i Oslo, Laboratorium for Ferskvannsøkologi og Innlandsfiske, Rapport Nr. 120, 1-68.

Received 14 Nov. 1990.